



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND ECONOMIC SCIENCES (IJEES)

TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP IN CHINESE EDUCATIONAL SETTINGS: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW

Yan Titian¹✉ | Mohamad Mustari²

^{1,2}✉ Master of Educational Administration, University of Mataram, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: 450748607@qq.com

Received: 23 November 2025 • Accepted: 28 December 2025 • Published: 31 December 2025

Abstract

This study examines the role of principals' transformational leadership in Chinese educational contexts through a library-based review of seven peer-reviewed studies published between 2015 and 2024. Transformational leadership, characterized by vision, intellectual stimulation, individualized consideration, and inspirational motivation, has been shown to significantly influence teacher development, school culture, and educational innovation. The findings indicate that transformational principals enhance teacher motivation, professional identity, and engagement by fostering professional learning communities, mentorship, and psychologically safe environments. At the organizational level, such leadership promotes collaborative cultures, relational trust, and participatory practices, while adapting to hierarchical and institutional constraints within the Chinese education system. Moreover, transformational leadership supports instructional innovation by encouraging reflective practice, experimentation, and alignment with curriculum reforms, contributing to improved teacher performance and student outcomes. The review highlights that although transformational leadership is globally recognized, its implementation in China is culturally adapted, emphasizing moral leadership, collective responsibility, and relational trust. These findings offer valuable insights for educational policymakers and school leaders seeking contextually responsive leadership practices in China..

Keywords: Transformational Leadership; Education; Principal Leadership; SLR; China.

How to cite: Yitian. Y., Mustari. M. (2025). Transformational Leadership in Chinese Educational Setting: A Systematic Literature Review. International Journal of Education and Economic Sciences (IJEES), 1(4), 65-73.

1 Introduction

Leadership is widely recognized as a critical factor influencing school effectiveness, teaching quality, and organizational improvement. Within educational leadership research, transformational leadership has received considerable attention due to its emphasis on vision, motivation, and the development of human potential. Conceptualized by Burns (1978) and further developed by Bass (1985), transformational leadership extends beyond

transactional exchanges by inspiring shared goals, professional commitment, and continuous growth. In school contexts, principals' transformational leadership has been linked to enhanced teacher motivation, collaboration, job satisfaction, and innovation (Hallinger, 2003; Bush, 2020).

In contemporary educational systems, principals are increasingly expected to act as change agents who foster adaptive and collaborative learning environments. Transformational leadership offers a framework for understanding how school leaders influence teachers through idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration (Bass, 1985). Compared with more hierarchical or managerial leadership approaches, transformational leadership emphasizes collective purpose and professional empowerment, aligning leadership practices with school improvement goals.

In China, the importance of school leadership has intensified amid ongoing educational reforms and rapid modernization. The New Curriculum Reform introduced in 2001 repositioned principals as key actors responsible for instructional leadership, teacher development, and curriculum improvement (Wang & Chan, 2015). These reform expectations resonate with transformational leadership principles; however, leadership practices in Chinese schools are embedded within sociocultural traditions shaped by Confucian values such as hierarchy, respect for authority, and collective harmony. This context creates a distinctive leadership environment in which transformational leadership is both enabled and constrained (Li, 2022).

A growing body of empirical research has examined transformational leadership in Chinese educational settings, reporting positive associations with teacher collaboration, professional learning, job satisfaction, and innovative behavior (Zhang et al., 2022; Bao, 2024; Lei et al., 2024). Despite this expansion, the literature remains fragmented. Educational leadership studies represent only a limited portion of transformational leadership research in China, which has largely focused on organizational and business contexts (Li, 2022). Furthermore, many school-based studies rely predominantly on quantitative survey designs and Western-developed instruments, potentially limiting sensitivity to culturally embedded leadership practices (Fang & Yu, 2023).

This methodological and conceptual concentration has restricted deeper understanding of how transformational leadership is interpreted and enacted within Chinese schools. In addition, limited attention has been given to the interaction between transformational leadership and China's governance structure, where principals must balance centralized policy mandates with demands for school-level innovation and teacher empowerment (Spangler, 2016; Li et al., 2025). Given these limitations, a systematic synthesis of existing research is needed. A systematic literature review enables the identification of dominant themes, theoretical perspectives, and research gaps across a dispersed body of studies (Snyder, 2019). Accordingly, this article systematically reviews the literature on principals' transformational leadership in Chinese educational settings. The review aims to clarify how transformational leadership has been conceptualized and examined in relation to school improvement, teacher development, and educational reform in China, thereby contributing a more coherent and contextually grounded understanding of this leadership approach.

2 Literature Review

2.1 Transformational Leadership: Conceptual Foundations

Transformational leadership has become a central framework in modern leadership studies, focusing on how leaders inspire, motivate, and transform followers to achieve higher levels of commitment and performance. Burns (1978) distinguished between transactional leadership, based on exchanges and rewards, and transformational leadership, in which leaders elevate followers' motivation and ethical standards through shared vision and moral purpose. Transformational leaders engage followers beyond short-term gains, appealing to values and a collective sense of purpose. Bass (1985) expanded Burns's conceptualization by operationalizing transformational leadership

into observable behaviors that enhance followers' satisfaction, commitment, and performance. He emphasized that transformational and transactional leadership are complementary rather than mutually exclusive. Bass identified four core components, often called the "Four I's": Idealized Influence, acting as role models; Inspirational Motivation, communicating compelling visions; Intellectual Stimulation, encouraging critical thinking and innovation; and Individualized Consideration, supporting followers' personal development (Bass & Avolio, 1994). These dimensions form a comprehensive framework for understanding leadership's cognitive, emotional, and moral influence.

The Full Range Leadership Model (FRLM), developed by Avolio and Bass (1995), integrates transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire leadership, situating transformational leadership as the most effective form of influence. Empirical studies show that transformational leadership positively impacts outcomes such as commitment, innovation, and performance (Judge & Piccolo, 2004; Walumbwa et al., 2008). Scholars also emphasize the importance of ethical grounding and authenticity, noting that transformational leadership is most effective when aligned with moral values and the greater good (Bass & Riggio, 2006; Avolio et al., 2004). Critiques of the theory highlight its leader-centric focus, potential cultural limitations, and reliance on perceptual measurement tools such as the MLQ (Antonakis, 2004; Yukl, 1999; Barling et al., 1996). Nonetheless, transformational leadership remains widely supported and adaptable, forming the foundation for related approaches such as servant, authentic, and distributed leadership (Northouse, 2021). Its emphasis on motivation, vision, and ethical influence has been applied successfully in organizational and educational contexts, demonstrating relevance across cultures and sectors (Leithwood & Jantzi, 2006; Hallinger, 2003).

2.2 Transformational Leadership in Educational Contexts

Transformational leadership has been widely adapted to education, reflecting the understanding that effective school leadership requires more than administrative management. School leaders are expected to inspire teachers, shape professional culture, and promote continuous improvement (Leithwood & Poplin, 1992). In schools, transformational leadership focuses on fostering collaboration, supporting teacher development, and enhancing problem-solving and innovation (Leithwood & Jantzi, 2006; Hallinger, 2003). A collaborative and professional school culture is central to this approach. Transformational leaders cultivate trust, shared values, and collective responsibility, enabling teachers to engage in professional dialogue, joint planning, and observation of each other's practices (Leithwood & Jantzi, 1999; Day et al., 2016). By modeling ethical behavior and commitment to the school's vision, principals inspire staff to commit to common goals (Hallinger, 2003; Bush, 2020). Distributed leadership complements this process by sharing leadership responsibilities and empowering teachers to participate in decision-making (Harris, 2004; Liu et al., 2021).

Teacher development is another critical dimension. Transformational principals align teachers' professional growth with school goals, offering mentoring, feedback, and professional learning opportunities to strengthen self-efficacy and motivation (Leithwood & Jantzi, 2000; Leithwood & Sun, 2012; Day & Sammons, 2016; Bandura, 1997; Bush, 2020). Research in China shows that such leadership practices enhance job satisfaction, commitment, and professional trust among teachers (Liu et al., 2021).

Finally, transformational leadership promotes problem-solving and innovation in schools. Through intellectual stimulation, principals encourage teachers to critically examine practices, experiment with new strategies, and collaborate on solutions (Bass & Avolio, 1994; Leithwood & Poplin, 1992). By fostering a psychologically safe environment, leaders support creativity and adaptability, which are essential for sustaining school improvement (Day et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2021). In sum, transformational school leadership integrates vision, moral purpose, and support for teachers to create collaborative, innovative, and professionally enriched school environments.

These principles underpin the study of principals' transformational leadership in the Chinese educational context, providing a theoretical foundation for the systematic review.

3 Method

This study employed a library-based research design to examine principals' transformational leadership in China. Rather than collecting primary data, the research relied on secondary data from peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2010 and 2025. This approach allowed the study to synthesize existing theories and findings to provide a comprehensive understanding of transformational leadership in educational settings. The study followed an interpretivist perspective, focusing on understanding leadership as a relational and contextual process within Chinese schools. Data were collected from Scopus, ProQuest, and Google Scholar using keywords such as "transformational leadership," "educational leadership in China," "school principals," and "teacher development." The selection process followed a systematic procedure to ensure relevance and quality, including the removal of duplicates, screening of abstracts, and full-text review. Only studies that directly addressed transformational leadership in education and were published in peer-reviewed journals were included. The analysis was conducted using thematic analysis to identify key patterns and themes related to transformational leadership, teacher development, school culture, and innovation. Trustworthiness was ensured through careful documentation, reliance on credible sources, and transparent data interpretation. Although no primary data were collected, ethical standards were maintained by accurately citing all sources and presenting findings objectively.

4 Results and Discussions

This section presents the findings on the role of principals' transformational leadership in Chinese schools, derived from a thematic synthesis of seven peer-reviewed studies published between 2015 and 2024. The analysis revealed that transformational leadership plays a critical role in shaping teacher professional growth, school culture, and innovation in teaching and learning. These findings are organized around three interrelated themes: (1) teacher professional growth and motivation, (2) school culture and organizational climate, and (3) innovation in teaching and learning outcomes.

4.1 Teacher Professional Growth and Motivation

Transformational leadership consistently emerged as a key mechanism for promoting teacher professional development and intrinsic motivation. Principals who exhibit transformational behaviors serve not only as administrators but also as mentors, visionaries, and moral guides. By demonstrating intellectual stimulation and inspirational motivation, principals encourage teachers to reflect on their practices, engage in lifelong learning, and actively participate in collaborative professional activities (Liu, Hallinger, & Feng, 2022; Zhang & Sun, 2024). This process enhances teachers' professional identity and fosters a sense of purpose, aligning individual aspirations with collective school goals.

Liu, Hallinger, and Feng (2022) highlighted that principals who cultivate professional learning communities create supportive environments in which teachers engage in peer observation, shared reflection, and joint problem-solving. These activities build confidence, encourage risk-taking in instructional design, and enhance teachers' readiness to adopt innovative practices. Similarly, Zhang and Sun (2024) demonstrated that transformational leadership strengthens teachers' role identity and self-efficacy, which in turn improves engagement with inclusive pedagogical approaches. By attending to individual teacher needs through individualized consideration, principals enhance professional satisfaction and motivation, illustrating how the psychological and relational dimensions of transformational leadership function in practice.

Li and Shi (2021) further emphasized that transformational leadership mitigates teacher burnout by fostering trust, recognition, and emotional commitment. Principals who provide support, acknowledge achievements, and maintain open communication create psychologically safe environments where teachers feel valued. This aligns with Deci and Ryan's (2000) self-determination framework, suggesting that transformational leadership fulfills teachers' intrinsic needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Beyond individual benefits, these practices cultivate a collective professional ethos, where shared values and collaborative norms reinforce teacher development. Hallinger (2018) noted that such leadership promotes both intellectual and moral growth, combining instructional guidance with ethical exemplarity, a combination particularly aligned with Confucian educational principles emphasizing harmony, virtue, and collective responsibility (Wang & Chan, 2015).

Moreover, transformational leadership supports teacher adaptability in the context of educational reform. Teachers under transformational principals demonstrate higher willingness to engage with curriculum modernization, digital integration, and reform-oriented initiatives (Liu et al., 2022; Zhang & Sun, 2024). By framing change as an opportunity rather than a constraint, principals reduce resistance and encourage proactive engagement. This highlights that transformational leadership in Chinese schools operates not only as a professional motivator but also as a strategic mechanism to navigate the demands of evolving educational policies and societal expectations.

4.2 School Culture and Organizational Climate

The literature indicates that transformational leadership profoundly shapes school culture and the organizational climate, fostering conditions that support collective learning, collaboration, and professional trust. Liu, Hallinger, and Feng (2022) found that transformational principals encourage professional learning communities, participatory decision-making, and reflective practices, which strengthen teachers' sense of shared purpose and collective efficacy. These practices create a culture of openness, trust, and mutual support, enabling teachers to collaborate on instructional innovation and problem-solving. In the Chinese context, however, structural and cultural factors mediate the enactment of transformational leadership. Chen (2020) observed that hierarchical traditions and centralized decision-making often constrain participatory practices, requiring principals to balance innovation with adherence to established authority structures. Wang and Chan (2015) highlighted that accountability pressures, heavy administrative responsibilities, and national policy mandates may limit the extent to which principals can fully transform school culture. Despite these challenges, transformational leaders demonstrate creativity by contextualizing leadership principles to align with cultural norms, emphasizing moral integrity, collective responsibility, and relational trust (Li, 2020).

The interplay between intellectual stimulation and moral leadership emerges as particularly salient. Principals who challenge teachers to reflect critically on their practices, encourage experimentation, and support collaborative inquiry foster environments where innovation becomes routine rather than exceptional (Liu et al., 2022). Simultaneously, individualized consideration reinforces trust and relational bonds, ensuring that teachers feel supported in their professional endeavors (Zhang & Sun, 2024). Hallinger (2018) noted that in East Asian educational systems, effective leadership integrates ethical guidance and relational commitment with strategic vision, a finding consistently reflected in the reviewed Chinese studies. Overall, the literature suggests that transformational leadership contributes to a positive school climate by embedding professional learning, ethical standards, and collective responsibility into organizational structures. Although structural constraints pose limitations, the relational and moral dimensions of transformational leadership provide a mechanism for fostering trust, agency, and shared commitment, which are essential for sustaining school improvement and professional development.

4.3 Innovation in Teaching and Learning Outcomes

Principals' transformational leadership also plays a pivotal role in promoting innovation in teaching and learning. By articulating a clear vision, providing intellectual stimulation, and fostering trust, transformational leaders encourage teachers to experiment with instructional approaches, adopt student-centered strategies, and integrate technology into pedagogy (Hallinger & Liu, 2020; Zhang & Sun, 2024). Schools led by transformational principals report higher levels of collaboration, instructional experimentation, and adaptability to curricular reforms, demonstrating that leadership directly influences the capacity for pedagogical innovation. Li and Shi (2021) emphasized that principals facilitate innovation by challenging teachers' assumptions, promoting reflective practice, and supporting professional dialogue. This approach allows teachers to engage in project-based learning, interdisciplinary initiatives, and formative assessment strategies, positioning innovation as a professional inquiry rather than a compliance-driven task. Liu, Hallinger, and Feng (2022) highlighted that collective experimentation within professional learning communities reinforces both innovation and sustained professional growth. Teachers collaboratively design lessons, test new methods, and evaluate outcomes, creating feedback loops that embed innovation into school routines.

Relational trust is central to this process. Chen (2020) noted that teachers are more willing to take instructional risks when they perceive support and recognition from transformational principals. Without such trust, innovative efforts often stall despite visionary guidance. Additionally, effective transformational leadership balances ambition with pragmatism: principals act as "cultural mediators," gradually introducing reforms while aligning with national curriculum mandates and examination-oriented constraints (Hallinger & Liu, 2020). This dual role underscores that transformational leadership in China is both adaptive and culturally responsive, enabling innovation while maintaining organizational stability. Ultimately, transformational leadership contributes to enhanced student outcomes indirectly through teacher agency, instructional coherence, and collaborative professional cultures. Teachers motivated and supported by transformational principals are more likely to implement high-quality teaching strategies, integrate feedback, and align classroom activities with long-term learning goals (Li & Shi, 2021). In this way, transformational leadership functions as a strategic lever for both pedagogical creativity and systemic improvement in Chinese educational contexts.

4.4 Synthesis and Implications

The synthesis of the seven reviewed studies highlights that principals' transformational leadership in Chinese schools is a multidimensional and culturally embedded phenomenon. Across all studies, transformational leadership operates simultaneously at the individual, relational, and organizational levels, enhancing teacher motivation, fostering collaborative and ethical school cultures, and promoting innovation in teaching and learning. While global principles of transformational leadership provide a conceptual framework, the Chinese context shapes their enactment through moral guidance, relational trust, and adherence to hierarchical norms (Chen, 2020; Wang & Chan, 2015; Li, 2020). These findings have important implications for educational leadership in China. Principals must integrate vision, intellectual stimulation, individualized support, and moral exemplarity to drive teacher development, innovation, and school improvement. At the same time, structural constraints and cultural norms require leaders to be adaptive, contextually sensitive, and strategic in balancing reform initiatives with institutional expectations. Collectively, the evidence suggests that transformational leadership in Chinese schools is not merely a set of managerial techniques but a holistic approach that blends ethical, relational, and strategic dimensions to foster sustainable educational excellence.

5 Conclusion

This systematic literature review has examined the role of principals' transformational leadership in Chinese educational settings, synthesizing findings from seven peer-reviewed studies published between 2015 and 2024. The review demonstrates that transformational leadership plays a significant role in enhancing teacher professional

growth, shaping collaborative and trust-based school cultures, and promoting innovation in teaching and learning. Across the reviewed studies, principals who enact transformational leadership practices, such as articulating a shared vision, providing intellectual stimulation, offering individualized support, and modeling moral integrity, positively influence teachers' motivation, professional identity, and engagement with educational reform. These leadership practices contribute indirectly to improved student outcomes by strengthening teacher agency, instructional quality, and collective professional learning.

Importantly, the findings highlight that transformational leadership in China is not a direct replication of Western models but is culturally and contextually adapted. Confucian values emphasizing moral leadership, relational harmony, collective responsibility, and respect for hierarchy shape how transformational leadership is interpreted and enacted in schools. Principals operate within centralized governance structures and institutional constraints, requiring them to balance innovation with compliance and strategic sensitivity. This review underscores that effective transformational leadership in Chinese schools is holistic, integrating ethical guidance, relational trust, and strategic vision. Future research is encouraged to employ more qualitative and mixed-method approaches to capture culturally embedded leadership practices and to explore how transformational leadership interacts with policy implementation and long-term school improvement. Overall, this study contributes to a more nuanced and contextually grounded understanding of educational leadership in China, offering insights for policymakers and school leaders seeking sustainable and culturally responsive leadership practices.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank University of Mataram, Indonesia for supporting this research.

Ethical Considerations

Not Applicable

Conflict of Interest

The Authors declare there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

This research did not receive any financial support

REFERENCES

- Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (1991). *The full range of leadership development: Basic and advanced manuals*. Bass, Avolio & Associates.
- Banks, G. C., McCauley, K. D., Gardner, W. L., & Guler, C. E. (2016). A meta-analytic review of authentic and transformational leadership: A test for redundancy. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 27(4), 634–652. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2016.02.006>
- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.
- Bass, B. M. (1990). From transactional to transformational leadership: Learning to share the vision. *Organizational Dynamics*, 18(3), 19–31. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616\(90\)90061-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616(90)90061-S)
- Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). *Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership*. SAGE Publications.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Brouer, R. L., Wallace, J. C., & Harvey, P. (2016). The synergistic influence of leader–member exchange and perceived organizational support on employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 31(2), 124–140. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMP-06-2012-0162>

- Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. Harper & Row.
- Chen, J. (2020). The impact of transformational leadership on teacher commitment: Evidence from Chinese secondary schools. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 48(2), 250–270. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143218802595>
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Hallinger, P., & Liu, S. (2020). Principals' instructional leadership in China: Perspectives from teachers in a national study. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 48(4), 685–706. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143219836685>
- Hammond, M., Clapp-Smith, R., & Palanski, M. (2015). Beyond (just) the workplace: A theory of leader development across multiple domains. *Academy of Management Review*, 41(1), 37–58. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2014.0200>
- Lee, A., Willis, S., & Tian, A. W. (2010). Empowering leadership: A meta-analytic examination of incremental contribution, mediation, and moderation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 39(3), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2220>
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000). The effects of transformational leadership on organizational conditions and student engagement with school. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 38(2), 112–129. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09578230010320064>
- Leithwood, K., & Poplin, M. (1992). Transformational leadership: How principals can help reform school cultures. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 3(4), 193–218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0924345920030402>
- Li, H., & Shi, K. (2021). Exploring principal leadership and teacher motivation in Chinese schools. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 22(3), 471–484. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-021-09705-0>
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. SAGE Publications.
- Liu, S., Hallinger, P., & Feng, D. (2022). Developing teachers' collective efficacy through transformational leadership in China: A multilevel analysis. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 111, 103628. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2021.103628>
- Lu, H., Zhang, Y., & Jia, F. (2018). The impact of transformational leadership on employees' psychological wellbeing: The mediating role of trust in leadership. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 870. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00870>
- Manzoor, F., Wei, L., Asif, M., & Haq, M. Z. U. (2019). The contribution of sustainable transformational leadership to employee wellbeing through trust, engagement, and job satisfaction. *Sustainability*, 11(2), 436. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11020436>
- Matzler, K., Bauer, F., & Mooradian, T. A. (2015). Self-esteem and transformational leadership. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 30(7), 815–831. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMP-01-2013-0023>
- Michaelis, B., Stegmaier, R., & Sonntag, K. (2010). Shedding light on followers' innovation implementation behavior: The role of transformational leadership, commitment to change, and climate for innovation. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 25(4), 408–429. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683941011035304>
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., ... & Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
- Paul-Hus, A., Desrochers, N., & Costas, R. (2016). Characterization, description, and impact of open access publications in social sciences and humanities. *Scientometrics*, 108(1), 567–581. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-016-1971-9>

- Sergiovanni, T. J. (1990). *Value-added leadership: How to get extraordinary performance in schools*. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Walumbwa, F. O., Avolio, B. J., & Zhu, W. (2008). How transformational leadership weaves its influence on individual job performance: The role of identification and efficacy beliefs. *Personnel Psychology*, 61(4), 793–825. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2008.00131.x>
- Wang, L., & Chan, K. (2015). The challenges of transformational leadership in Chinese schools: A teacher's perspective. *Educational Review*, 67(3), 340–356. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2014.971830>
- Xie, Y. (2022). Transformational school leadership and teacher collaboration in China: Mediating effects of trust and commitment. *Educational Studies*, 48(5), 621–639. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03055698.2020.1861394>
- Zeng, J., Wong, K. Y., & Li, H. (2023). Leadership for professional learning communities in Chinese schools: Transformational perspectives. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 43(2), 145–163. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2022.2047856>
- Zhang, Q., & Sun, Y. (2024). Exploring transformational leadership and teacher innovation in Chinese primary schools. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 128, 102134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2024.102134>